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Abstract

Introduction: Noise is one of the harmful agents to health that is present in the various branches of economic activity. Hearing loss and tinnitus are among the most frequently reported complaints by workers exposed to occupational noise. Objective: To analyze the hearing and tinnitus in normal-hearing workers exposed to occupational noise. Method: This is a cross-sectional analytical trial in metallurgical industries, in which we evaluated normal-hearing workers through anamnesis, audiometry and otoacoustic emissions. Results: It was observed high prevalence of failure of otoacoustic emissions (40%) and tinnitus (66.6%). Both in the amplitude and in the signal-to-noise ratio, the higher is the frequency of the sound, the worse the results. Despite having audiometry within normal limits, the results indicate that workers are suffering the effects of exposure and reveals association between failure of otoacoustic emissions and tinnitus in this population (X² = 6,732). Conclusion: It is concluded that failures in DPOAE and tinnitus are predictors of hearing damage in normal-hearing workers.
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INTRODUCTION

Hearing health is being treated as a considerable economic and social importance matter, and this importance has grown steadily. Increasingly a wide variety of professionals shares interest on this subject. It is known that hearing loss interferes with the individual’s quality of life, restricting their social interaction skills causing, in certain situations, constraints and stress. Thus, a movement among the scientific community has begun, not only for treatment but also for prevention of hearing health. Recent researches show the presence of mild hearing loss and reveal that commonly these changes are not detected in the battery of conventional audiological tests. Thus, other methods have been used to identify early hearing disorders such as, for example, testing the otoacoustic emissions (OAE)1,2.

Since it is a more sensitive method than pure tone audiometry, hearing monitoring by OAE may be indicated in Noise-induced hearing loss (NIHL) as its result can precede the onset of hearing loss in order to prevent the advance of loss. Trials have been conducted in order to describe the changes and correlate the tone audiometry and otoacoustic emissions findings in individuals exposed to high-intensity noise. Researches show that serial conducting OAE in individuals exposed to noise can detect minute changes in cochlear function preceding the hearing loss on audiometry3,4.

It is known that workers exposed to noise mainly complain of difficulty recognizing speech and tinnitus. Tinnitus manifests itself as an endogenous auditory sensation, illusory, presenting itself as a sound that is perceived in the absence of external sound stimuli, called by many authors as ringing of the ears7. This auditory sensation is usually referred to as ringing, buzzing, whistling, roaring, humming, rain, among others, may be unilateral or bilateral, continuous or intermittent, constant, mono or polytonal6. It has variable intensity, and in its most severe form can be highly uncomfortable8. Currently, the tinnitus should be considered a pathological symptom or sequel of any aggression suffered by the auditory system.

Many authors agree that a sum of simultaneous and sequential causes can cause tinnitus, such as acoustic trauma, ototoxic drugs, hearing loss, vascular or metabolic problems, tumors, Meniere’s disease and perilymphatic fistula, among others9-10. Among these, it is believed that 90% of cases are generated by changes in the inner ear. Despite recent scientific advances, tinnitus is a symptom wrapped in many unknowns and remains one of the challenges of audiology and otolaryngology areas. This is one of the main symptoms reported by workers exposed to occupational noise, noting therefore the relevance of the goal, which is to analyze the hearing and tinnitus in normal-hearing workers exposed to occupational noise.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

This is an epidemiological trial of cross-sectional analytical type, carried out in metallurgical industries, with noise levels above 85 dB, during which we evaluated the hearing threshold, the Distortion product otoacoustic emissions test (DPOAE) and, through occupational anamnesis, occupational data, lifestyle and aspects related to morbidity were identified. The trial population was selected by convenience sample, composed only by companies that agreed to participate. These companies are geographically restricted to the Federal District/ Brazil. Workers aged between 18 and 55 years old were evaluated, all male and with at least one year of work in the function. Workers in sectors where there is no exposure to occupational noise above 85 dB did not participate in the research, workers with history of hearing loss of conductive or mixed type and workers with acoustic trauma history.

The distribution of sound intensity of the production plant of the evaluated metallurgical industries has been verified. For this, we used a sound pressure level meter (sonometer) properly calibrated and located in central points of the plant. The audiological evaluation was preceded by otoscopy and acoustic rest of 14 hours. Such evaluation was made to check the minimum response level for frequencies of 250 Hz, 500 Hz, 1 kHz, 2 kHz, 3 kHz, 4 kHz, 6 kHz and 8 kHz. Participants evaluated with the Distortion product otoacoustic emissions test (DPOAE) presented auditory thresholds equal to or less than 25 dB (within the normal bilateral standards).

The otoacoustic emissions test was performed in a silent room, in order to specifically evaluate the functionality of outer hair cells. DPOAE were evaluated by the simultaneous presentation of two different pure tones (F1 and F2), expressed by the ratio of 1.22. We used the intensity parameter L = 65 and L = 55 dB, being measured in the cochlea conditions with the frequencies 1,5 KHz, 2 kHz, 3 kHz, 4 kHz, 5 kHz and 6 kHz. The analyzes of DPOAE were made by frequency, following the amplitude criteria (DP) above -5 dB and the signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) above 6 dB (61). The occurrence of DPOAE response in a frequency was considered when the values set out in the two above criteria were observed in it. The criteria of this trial are based on recent trials conducted in patients with various types of sensorineural hearing losses, which have indicated as best DPOAE test protocol the L1 > L2 ratio, or more specifically, L1 = 65 and L2 = 55 dB1,5-14.

The audiological assessment information were stored in Microsoft Excel database, performing thus the statistical analysis (average, median, standard deviation and prevalence rate). For the analysis of the results, we used the statistical Student’s t-test (for rating averages and standard deviation) and Fisher’s exact test (to assess the prevalence), both with 95% of significance level. For association between variables, we used the chi-squared test. The post hoc analyzes were made using one-way ANOVA or paired t-test, according to the needs. This trial was approved by the Ethics Committee of the Faculty of
Health Sciences of Universidade de Brasília (UnB).

RESULTS

342 evaluations of hearing thresholds (audiometry) were held, preceded by an occupational anamnesis. Of these, 150 (43.8%) tests met the inclusion criteria of the research. Workers assessed with DPOAE present average age of 34.6 years (SD ± 8.8); of which, 38.5% are aged up to 30 years.

According to the results obtained in obtained with Distortion product otoacoustic emissions (DPOAE), it was observed that 40% of the individuals showed changes in both ears. When evaluating each ear this variable showed that there were 43.3% of failures in the left ear and 45.3% in the right ear, characterizing lesion in the outer hair cells of the cochlea even with audiometric thresholds within normal limits. There was no statistically significant association between laterality of the ears (right or left) and the presence of failure ($p = 0.816$) (Figure 1).

By analyzing the general average of the amplitudes and the signal-to-noise ratio, the laterality of the ears and the evaluated frequency of DPOAE, it is observed that with increasing frequency there is a reduction of the amplitudes (Table 1). The post hoc analysis showed that the frequencies from 5 to 6 kHz had averages significantly lower compared to other frequencies ($p < 0.045$).

During the assessment for occurrence of tinnitus among workers with normal hearing, who were submitted to the test of DPOAE and failed the test in at least one ear, we found a prevalence of 66.6%. Among these, 41.5% reported feeling the symptom often and 58.5% say that tinnitus occurs rarely. As for laterality, it was found that the highest prevalence occurs in both ears (41.5%), left ear and right ear respectively (30.2% and 28.3%). There was no statistically significant difference between the ears ($p > 0.05$ Student’s t-test) (Tables 2 and 3).

DISCUSSION

The possibility of early identification of cochlear changes in normal-hearing workers led many scientists researching the effects of hearing caused by occupational noise through the OAE test. The choice of distortion products for this research was based on the possibility of evaluating the cochlear activity in specific frequencies, providing comprehensive analysis compared to transient emissions which evaluates the cochlea globally.

Recognizing that DPOAE may represent an important technical feature of prevention of NIHL, we used this hearing assessment procedure in order to investigate the cochlear conditions of metalworkers, since they are professionals present in auditory risk environment. It is confirmed that for this test is essential that the middle ear is in appropriate physiological conditions and that it is an efficient, fast and objective examination for the differential diagnosis and monitoring of NIHL as the audiometry, because it is subjective and depends directly on the worker response, may have disadvantages in the detection of responses and therefore it is subject to influences such as fatigue, pain, malaise, stress, inattention, examination misunderstanding, among others. However, it is considered that the audiometry is extremely important, because it shows the type and degree of hearing loss and that such a test should not be disregarded, but it should be supplemented by another test that adds objectivity to the result.

As for the auditory characteristics, it is observed

![Figure 1. Prevalence of changes in DPOAE according to the result of the test and the laterality of the ears.](image-url)

### Table 1. Average of amplitude ratio and signal-to-noise ratio of the altered DPOAE, according to laterality (right ear) and the evaluated frequencies.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Frequency (kHz)</th>
<th>LE</th>
<th>RE</th>
<th>LE</th>
<th>RE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>11.9</td>
<td>13.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>13.7</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>15.8</td>
<td>16.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>-3.2</td>
<td>-2.9</td>
<td>14.1</td>
<td>14.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>-3.1</td>
<td>-2.9</td>
<td>14.2</td>
<td>13.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>-8.1</td>
<td>-7.9</td>
<td>13.6</td>
<td>13.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Frequency Factor $p < 0.001$ * 5 and 6 KHz ($p < 0.045$)

### Table 2. Prevalence of tinnitus among normal-hearing workers who failed DPOAE according to temporality and laterality of the symptom.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tinnitus</th>
<th>Percentage (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>66.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>33.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Temporality</th>
<th>Percentage (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Frequent</td>
<td>41.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rarely</td>
<td>58.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Laterality</th>
<th>Percentage (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RE</td>
<td>28.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LE</td>
<td>30.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BE</td>
<td>41.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(p >0.05 Student’s t-test) -

### Table 3. Tinnitus and otoacoustic emissions.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Otoacoustic emission</th>
<th>Tinnitus</th>
<th>Approved (N)</th>
<th>Failure (N)</th>
<th>Total (N)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Presence</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>70</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Absence</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>80</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>150</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$* \chi^2 > 3.841$  $\chi^2 = 6.732$
that even with normal audiometric thresholds, 40% of workers have changes in DPOAE in both ears. The high prevalence found in this trial is corroborated by other authors that demonstrate the test sensitivity in detecting early cochlear alterations caused by noise exposure, which are not identified by audiometry. By analyzing the average of the amplitudes and the signal-to-noise ratio of DPOAE, it was found that in both ears the averages decreased when increasing frequency. This finding was also obtained in other trials.

As the occurrence of tinnitus in individuals without loss in conventional audiometry, researchers believe that this could be explained by diffuse damage of up to 30% of the outer hair cells throughout the spiral of the cochlea, with no impairment to the hearing threshold at frequencies from 250 Hz to 8 kHz. The results corroborate the findings of Hall and Haynnes, who claim that individuals with tinnitus and normal hearing in the conventional frequency range may have worse hearing thresholds at high frequencies and failures in the EOA. The high prevalence of tinnitus in this trial is corroborated by other authors who have proved that one of the causes of this problem is the exposure to noise and thus it is one of the first symptoms of hearing loss, which in turn is the occupational health problem more prevalent in industrial environments.

It was observed that, in most cases, tinnitus appears as a sound of “whistle”. We suppose that this data will reveal the predominance of tinnitus in acute frequency as well as the presence of hearing damage, since the post hoc analysis showed that the frequencies from 5 to 6 kHz had averages significantly worse compared to other frequencies, suggesting cochlear injury in this frequency range. Some researchers agree that the frequency of a higher prevalence of tinnitus is similar to the frequency of hearing loss.

The results of this trial suggest that, beyond the realization of pure tone and vocal audiometry, conducting DPOAE should be incorporated in the battery of occupational hearing monitoring tests in order to diagnose early hearing loss and prevent the onset of NIHL and tinnitus in Brazilian workers. The adoption of this measure will benefit both the employee and the employer, since adapting a proposal in order to achieve effective prevention of occupational hearing loss means protecting the worker from illness and the employer from negligence. In Brazil, the NR7 of Ministry of Labor and Employment defines that the audiometric examination should be performed only in the frequencies from 500 Hz to 8 kHz, however, the number of workers exposed to high sound pressure levels demand the need to know and assess the risk that such exposure leads to health.

Some trials suggest the realization of high-frequency audiometry (up to 20 kHz) for early detection of NIHL, and consider that if it is not feasible to apply high frequencies, another option would be the otoacoustic emissions test that evaluates the hair cells and can check higher frequencies, in addition to being an objective, fast and convenient test, because it is not necessary to its realization to be inside acoustically treated environments.

The fact is that even with the mandatory use of hearing protection and compliance with current legislation, researches continue to point high rates of occupational hearing loss, tinnitus and cochlear damage in workers exposed to noise. Faced with the challenge of trying to analyze broadly this subject, when thinking at the national level, it is believed that despite the growing number of researches, there is a lack of knowledge about the actual number of exposed workers and also an underreporting or not notification of cases, thus, making the data available on occupational accidents, particularly of acoustic traumas and diseases related to NIHL, in official statistics, unable to measure the impact of what is occupational exposure to noise in occupational epidemiology, however there are records indicating that in Brazil, deafness is the second leading cause of occupational disease, and the prevention and early detection are the best tools to combat this reality. It is noteworthy, therefore, the importance of mandatory reporting by health professionals as well as those responsible for public and private organizations and establishments of health and education.

CONCLUSION

It is concluded that DPOAE failure and tinnitus are predictors of hearing loss, which are associated in this population, suggesting that, even with normal audiometric thresholds, indeed there is a cochlear damage already.
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