Neurofeedback and Quantitative Electroencephalography
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Abstract: This study was conducted in an attempt to determine the efficacy of neurofeedback (NFB) in the treatment of patients suffering from vertigo or tinnitus. Results indicated that after NFB, power for delta and theta bands was reduced; however, an increase of power was noted for the alpha bands. Furthermore, normalization was observed for the vestibular evoked potentials (VestEP). After NFB, a normalization of the VestEP was also demonstrated in a patient suffering from a bilateral tinnitus. A follow-up study (12 months after NFB) demonstrated that the VestEP were normal.
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Quantitative electroencephalography (QEEG) is a measurement that uses digital technology of electrical wave patterns at the surface of the scalp. These patterns generally are considered to reflect cortical electrical activity or so-called brain waves. Recorded electroencephalography (EEG) data are quantified subsequently through fast Fourier transform analysis. The recorded EEG wave patterns are subdivided on the basis of frequency ranges, which clinically are defined routinely as delta, theta, alpha, and beta. Over the last 25 years, advances in signal processing have made possible the sampling of these waves many times per second (usually 128 or 256 samples per second) and their quantitative analysis in various ways. Using this technology, we can now measure the amplitude and content of specific wave frequency bands and their distribution over the scalp surface and can even compare a client's QEEG data to a normative reference database [1].

For some time, EEG has been employed clinically in behavioral medicine as a measure of brain function, with the hope of determining and differentiating certain functional conditions of the brain. QEEG is employed also in treating patients who suffer from cognitive dysfunction resulting from either a general decline of overall brain function or a localized or lateralized deficit. This technology can be employed in working with patients suffering from tinnitus [2,3], wherein the analysis and evaluation of the QEEG data are essential for the design of appropriate neurofeedback (NFB) therapy.

NFB, also known as EEG biofeedback, is a computerized learning strategy that enables people voluntarily to alter their own brain activity. The EEG is monitored, and clients are shown components of their momentary EEG signature. Then subjects are asked to alter specific components of the brain waves (and thus the underlying physiology and biochemistry) toward a more appropriate functional balance. The modification of specific brain wave rhythms at appropriate regions of the brain follows, with improvement in function.

Operant conditioning of EEG characteristics is well documented in the scientific literature [4–7]. Training to decrease slow activity and to increase fast, desynchronized EEG activity has been used for more than 20 years to ameliorate attention deficit hyperactivity disorder and epilepsy [8–10]. More recently, EEG operant conditioning has been successfully applied to patients with mild traumatic brain injury [11,12]. Application of this technology in various forms has been reported for a
wide range of injuries and diseases [13–20]. In this study, we report the effects of NFB on patients suffering from vertigo or tinnitus, employing EEG technology to verify NFB benefits.

METHODS

Quantitative EEG

We acquired the brain waves (EEG signals) employing a Neurosearch 24 instrument (Lexicor Medical Technology, Inc., Boulder, CO) by placing 19 electrodes on the scalp in a standard international (10–20) pattern. The QEEG was taken using the Electro-Cap (Electro-cap International, Inc., Eaton, OH), an EEG electrode application technique. The Electro-Cap is made of an elastic spandex-type fabric to which recessed, pure tin electrodes are attached. The electrodes on the standard caps are positioned according to the international 10/20 method of electrode placement. Each lead was checked separately. Impedance was judged acceptable when electrode impedance registered below 5,000 Ω. The EEG signals from each electrode were independently amplified by matched differential amplifiers with less than 2-mV peak-to-peak noise, input impedance of more than 70-MΩ differential, common mode rejection of more than 90 dB at 60 Hz, high-pass filter of 2 Hz, and low-pass filter of 32 Hz. Analog-to-digital conversion of the signal was achieved with a 12-bit A/D converter, the sampling interval of which was governed by a 50-kHz crystal oscillator.

All EEG data were visually inspected for artifacts due to movements and to muscular activity before the records were subjected to quantitative analysis and interpretation. Frequency analysis was performed using a fast Fourier transform. The QEEG frequency bands chosen were delta (2–4 Hz), theta (4–7 Hz), alpha (8–13 Hz), and beta (14–21 Hz), with sub-alpha bands of alpha1 (7–9 Hz), alpha2 (9–11 Hz), and alpha3 (11–13 Hz). Statistical calculations were performed using only artifact-screened data.

All EEG data were collected under controlled conditions with subjects reclining comfortably in an armchair with eyes closed in a sound-attenuated, electrically shielded room. Unless stated otherwise, the data used for topographical color maps have been manually screened for eye-blink movements, and only eye-blink-free epochs were used in the preparation of quantitative results, including spectral averages and topographical maps.

Vestibular Evoked Potentials

The vestibular evoked potentials (VestEP) were performed by Dr. D. Schneider at the University Head Center, Department of Ear, Nose, and Throat at the Neurootology Unit, Würzburg, Germany. The vestibular stimuli applied were repetitive short-duration rotatory movements (stepwise angular accelerations, clockwise, and counterclockwise) of the subject’s entire body around a vertical axis. The onset of the positive acceleration served as a trigger impulse for averaging the EEG segments. To prevent emotional stress and muscle artifacts, a slow deceleration was applied. The rotational motion thus consisted of trials of clockwise and counterclockwise constant acceleration impulses. The interstimulus interval was 14 seconds, and the duration of acceleration and deceleration was 1,000 msec each. An angular acceleration and deceleration intensity of 15 degrees/sec2 was chosen such that the angular velocity rose from 0 degrees/sec to a maximum peak velocity of 15 degrees/sec. The common step amplitude for the positive and negative acceleration phases was 30 degrees. After the onset of the stepwise acceleration stimulus, a period of 1,000 msec was used for analysis of the rotatory evoked brain electrical events. Peak-to-peak amplitudes and principal component latencies were measured and subsequently computed for their mean values and standard deviations [21].

Neurofeedback

EEG treatment protocols varied and depended on the presenting symptoms and on the baseline QEEG test results obtained from each patient. The Biolex EEG computerized biofeedback software was used with the Neurosearch 24, as described. The same settings, analysis, and electrode impedance criteria were used during the NFB training sessions. However, in the NFB training sessions, the frequency bands used were alpha (8.0–13.0 Hz; sensorimotor rhythm [also known as SMR]; 12.0–15.0 Hz) and beta (19.0–23.0 Hz and 13.5–14.5 Hz). The purpose of the study was to examine the efficacy of NFB in patients suffering from either tinnitus or vertigo.

RESULTS

Patient 1

A 33-year-old female medical technician and firefighter fell from a fire engine in September 2001. She landed on her buttocks and subsequently on the back of her head. After the fall, the patient reported concentration problems, headache (in the area of the forehead), and vertigo (fast-turning wheel in the back of her head). The patient underwent an NFB treatment program. A total of 10 NFB sessions over a period of 2 weeks were performed.
Neurofeedback and QEEG

Figure 1. Power spectra before (A) and after neurofeedback (B) in Patient 1.

A comparison of the QEEG data before and after NFB revealed a reduction of the delta power (Fig. 1). The theta band was no longer present. After NFB, an 8-Hz band was demonstrable. Before NFB, we recorded a shortening of the latencies for waves III, IV, V, and VI during the rotation to the right and a shortening of the latencies for waves V and VI during the left rotation (Table 1). After NFB, we noted a normalization of the latencies except for wave V (rotation to the left; Table 2).

Before NFB, a DC shift of waves I, V, and VI toward the negative pole (Fig. 2, black area) was observed when rotation to the right occurred. After NFB, a normalization was noted with the exception of wave V. Also after NFB, the patient reported no further disorder from vertigo, headache, and concentration problems.

Patient 2

A 44-year-old woman received a mild traumatic brain injury in a car accident. After the accident, the woman reported the following complaints: vertigo, double vision, nausea, concentration problems, and aggressive and depressive behavior patterns.

The QEEG data analysis revealed a slowing of the EEG pattern, with dominant frequency bands at 2 Hz and 8 Hz. A comparison of the QEEG data before and after NFB indicated a reduction of power for the 2-Hz band and the 8-Hz band (Fig. 3). However, an increase of power was noted for the 10-Hz band.

Under the condition of eyes closed, a significant decrease of power was noted for the delta and theta bands and a significant increase of power for the alpha band. Further subdivision of the alpha band revealed a significant decrease of power of the alpha_1 band and a significant increase of power of the alpha_2 and alpha_3 bands. Under the condition of eyes open, a significant increase of power was noted for the alpha band and the alpha_2 and alpha_3 bands (Table 3).

After NFB, the patient no longer experienced vertigo and nausea. She reported a decrease of her double vision, restored emotional stability, and improved concentration.

Patient 3

A 38-year-old woman experienced bilateral tinnitus for 8 months. A sudden loss of hearing preceded the onset of tinnitus. Subsequent treatments, including infusion therapy, acupuncture, and physical therapy, were unsuccessful. With the onset of tinnitus, the patient reported mood swings, panic attacks, and concentration problems.

Table 1. Mean Peak Latencies of the Vestibular Evoked Potentials Component Before Neurofeedback

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rotation</th>
<th>Wave I</th>
<th>Wave II</th>
<th>Wave III</th>
<th>Wave IV</th>
<th>Wave V</th>
<th>Wave VI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Right</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>270</td>
<td>375</td>
<td>555</td>
<td>660</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>230</td>
<td>390</td>
<td>450</td>
<td>555</td>
<td>640</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control*</td>
<td>77 ± 10</td>
<td>182 ± 9</td>
<td>336 ± 18</td>
<td>476 ± 16</td>
<td>632 ± 19</td>
<td>802 ± 19</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Values expressed as mean plus or minus the standard deviation.

Table 2. Mean Peak Latencies of the Vestibular Evoked Potentials Component After Neurofeedback

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rotation</th>
<th>Wave I</th>
<th>Wave II</th>
<th>Wave III</th>
<th>Wave IV</th>
<th>Wave V</th>
<th>Wave VI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Right</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>480</td>
<td>605</td>
<td>785</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>235</td>
<td>390</td>
<td>450</td>
<td>520</td>
<td>790</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control*</td>
<td>77 ± 10</td>
<td>182 ± 9</td>
<td>336 ± 18</td>
<td>476 ± 16</td>
<td>632 ± 19</td>
<td>802 ± 19</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Values expressed as mean plus or minus the standard deviation.
Average Total Power
The average total power for this patient was calculated by averaging the total power from each of the 19 electrode leads. The average total power for the patient (7.3 ± 0.3 μV²) was significantly lower than the average total power of the control subjects (N = 20; 25.1 ± 0.8 μV²; p < .0001).

Average Power with Respect to Frequency Bands
Average power was calculated for the following four frequency bands (Table 4): delta (2–4 Hz), theta (4–7 Hz), alpha (8–13 Hz), and beta (14–21 Hz). The average total power of these frequency bands was significantly reduced when compared to control values. Subdividing the alpha band into alpha₁, alpha₂, and alpha₃,
revealed significant differences for all three bands (Table 5). The average power of all three alpha subgroups was significantly reduced when compared to control values.

Topographical illustration of the QEEG data revealed two beta foci located in C3 and C4 (Fig. 4). Over a period of 1 week, nine NFB sessions (each 90 minutes long, two sessions per day) were performed. Measurements of the VestEP (Table 6) revealed shortened latencies for the rotation to the right and to the left. After NFB, a shortened latency was noted only for wave V when rotating to the left. A further improvement of the latencies was noted 12 months after the cessation of NFB therapy.

The comparison of the results of the SCL-90-R questionnaire indicated normalization of depression, fear, and phobia (Table 7). After NFB, the patient suffered no more panic attacks and was emotionally stable, and her tinnitus was only occasionally present.

**DISCUSSION**

NFB represents a window of opportunity for assessing and shifting any given brain state [15]. NFB has been employed for treatment of patients suffering from attention deficit hyperactivity disorder, generalized anxiety disorder, depression, conduct disorder, epilepsy, posttraumatic stress disorder, and drug addiction [10,16-20].

Our study revealed that NFB is an effective therapy for the treatment of patients suffering from vertigo and dizziness or tinnitus.

Computer-assisted EEG analysis clearly demonstrated prominent differences before and after NFB for both patients suffering from vertigo and dizziness. In both cases, a decrease of the power of the delta and theta bands was observed. However, an increase of the power of the alpha band was noted after NFB. Most interestingly, in both cases, the frequency of the dominant band increased by 2 Hz, from 6 to 8 Hz and from 8 to 10 Hz. The VestEP revealed a normalization of the late latencies, which are associated with frontal areas, most likely reflecting a high level of supranodal processing with sensory information [21].

Topographical illustration of the EEG data from the tinnitus patient indicates two beta foci in the central region. Similar results have been reported by Weiler et al.
Table 6. Mean Peak Latencies of the Vestibular Evoked Potentials Component Before and After Neurofeedback

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rotation</th>
<th>Wave I</th>
<th>Wave II</th>
<th>Wave III</th>
<th>Wave IV</th>
<th>Wave V</th>
<th>Wave VI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Preneurofeedback data</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>175</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>415</td>
<td>535</td>
<td>745</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>155</td>
<td>305</td>
<td>415</td>
<td>540</td>
<td>725</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control*</td>
<td>77±10</td>
<td>182±9</td>
<td>336±18</td>
<td>476±16</td>
<td>632±19</td>
<td>802±19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Postneurofeedback data (1 wk)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>185</td>
<td>325</td>
<td>540</td>
<td>675</td>
<td>815</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>235</td>
<td>325</td>
<td>460</td>
<td>555</td>
<td>805</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control*</td>
<td>77±10</td>
<td>182±9</td>
<td>336±18</td>
<td>476±16</td>
<td>632±19</td>
<td>802±19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Postneurofeedback data (12 mo.)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>245</td>
<td>290</td>
<td>485</td>
<td>615</td>
<td>795</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>235</td>
<td>280</td>
<td>485</td>
<td>645</td>
<td>775</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control*</td>
<td>77±10</td>
<td>182±9</td>
<td>336±18</td>
<td>476±16</td>
<td>632±19</td>
<td>802±19</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Values expressed as mean plus or minus the standard deviation.

Table 7. Results of the SCL-90-R Questionnaire Before and After Neurofeedback

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Pre-NFB</th>
<th>Post-NFB</th>
<th>p Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Insecurity</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depression</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fear</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aggression</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phobia</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NFB = neurofeedback; NS = not significant.

[2,3]. Measurements of the VestEP after NFB revealed normalization of the latencies. A follow-up measurement (12 months after NFB) of the VestEP confirmed that the NFB-induced changes of the latencies remained stable. This indicated that NFB induces lasting changes of the subject's neurophysiology and neurochemistry. In summary, NFB is a suitable tool for treating patients suffering from tinnitus or vertigo.
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