The Protective Effect of Sertraline in Preventing Cisplatin-induced Ototoxicity in Solid Organ Chemotherapy
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Abstract

Cisplatin, as a platinum-based chemotherapeutic medication, is applied for various types of solid tumors. Regarding the treatment of cancer cells, cisplatin is potentially accompanied with some side effects such as nephrotoxicity, neurotoxicity, and ototoxicity in spite of high efficacy of Cisplatin. The present study aimed to determine whether the use of oral sertraline can contribute to preserve hearing threshold among the patients who receive cisplatin or not. This research has done for one year, along with a 3 months course and follow-up. This is a double-blind, randomized, and placebo-controlled trial study. The study was conducted in Oncology Clinic and Audiology Department of Firoozgar University Hospital in Tehran, Iran. Among 112 patients, 79 patients who were suffering from different types of solid tumors and were candidates for receiving cisplatin for chemotherapy treatment were selected. The grade of hearing impairment and otoacoustic emission in the first day and the last stage of the study was used for data analysis. Among 112 patients, 79 patients were randomly selected to receive either sertraline (50 mg/d) as the case group, or placebo as the control group. Before and after the treatments, the patients were assessed by High-Frequency Audiometry and dpOAE tests. First, the two groups were distributed homogeneously based on sex and age. Based on Common Terminology Criteria for Adverse Events (CTCAE), the Ototoxicity Grade indicated a significantly lower grade of deficits in the Sertraline group (p<0.001), compared to that of the control group. In addition, a significant difference was observed between the two groups regarding the changes in the threshold of dpOAE (p=0.000). The daily consumption of sertraline (50 mg/d) among the adults who were candidates for chemotherapy treatment with cisplatin could result in preserving the hearing threshold significantly.
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INTRODUCTION

Cisplatin, as a platinum-based chemotherapeutic medication, is applied for various types of solid tumors. In spite of the high efficacy of Cisplatin and regarding the treatment of cancer cells, cisplatin is potentially accompanied by some side effects such as nephrotoxicity, neurotoxicity, and ototoxicity. A few agents and protocols are available, which can help to prevent these side effects, especially with respect to the prevention of cisplatin-related ototoxicity. Increase in hearing threshold may occur in about 75%-100% patients after administering cisplatin. The main risk factors which contribute to cisplatin-induced ototoxicity include extreme ages, higher dose and longer duration of drug use, simultaneous radiation therapy, anemia, and even some genetic vulnerabilities. A large number of protective agents have been offered to lower the risk of cisplatin-induced ototoxicity such as N-acetyl cysteine, sodium thiosulphate, amifostine, lipoic acid, salicylates, and even corticosteroids. The ability in controlling the side effects as a result of their antioxidant nature by neutralizing the cisplatin and increasing its urinary secretion is regarded as the main physiological feature of these agents.Nearly all of the above-mentioned agents limit and reduce the antitumor activity of cisplatin and its affinity. Further, High-Frequency Audiometry (HFA) and distortion product Otoacoustic Emissions (dpOAE) tests are mostly used for ototoxicity monitoring. In particular, HFA and dpOAE tests have been introduced in the references as the primary test of choice for early diagnosis of ototoxicity. HFA comprises air-conduction threshold testing for the frequencies above 4000 Hertz (Hz). HFA permits detection of aminoglycoside-induced or cisplatin-induced ototoxic losses well before changes become evident in the conventional range. Drug toxicities tend to be expressed first as OHC (Outer Hair Cell) dysfunction, and the relation of normal OAEs to intact OHCs is well established. Recently, special attention has been paid to sertraline as a selective serotonin reuptake inhibitor for reducing cisplatin-induced ototoxicity. Potential advantages of sertraline may be related to neuroprotective and antioxidant effects (Increased anti-Apoptotic proteins levels), as well as its stimulation in the neurogenesis process (protect neurons against oxidative metabolic effects). However, a few studies have been conducted regarding the beneficial effect of sertraline in preserving the normal hearing threshold. As shown by Ozturk et al., lower ototoxicity rate was revealed in the group receiving sertraline in addition to cisplatin, compared to the group receiving cisplatin alone in rats. So far, no clinical trial has been reported on the protective role of sertraline against cisplatin-induced ototoxicity among humans. Therefore, the present study aimed to assess the protective effect of sertraline on preventing cisplatin-induced ototoxicity.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study design

The present prospective, double-blind, parallel, placebo-controlled, randomized clinical trial was performed among the patients suffering from different types of solid tumors such as Hodgkin lymphoma, non-follicular lymphoma, and malignant neoplasm of stomach, who gave all consent to chemotherapy treatment with cisplatin.

Participants

Inclusion criteria

All the patients, aged 20-65, were referred to the Oncology Clinic of Firoozgar Hospital as cancerous cases (Since November 2016 to November 2017).

Exclusion criteria

The patients with the history of previous chemotherapy with cisplatin or other ototoxic agents, suffering from head and neck tumors, receiving concomitant radiotherapy, wearing hearing aids, showing any evidence of severe otologic deficits, recent use of corticosteroids, any history of metabolic or chronic disorders, during pregnancy or breastfeeding, history of seizures, simultaneous use of Monoamine Oxidase Inhibitors (MAOIs), using antiarrhythmic drugs or warfarin, and at risk of any sertraline-related side effects such as respiratory disorders, palpitation, drowsiness, confusion, severe nausea and vomiting or other significant gastrointestinal problems, skin rashes, or extra pyramidal symptoms.

Sertraline therapy

First, the patients in the case group were randomly by using the blocked randomization methods with block of size four and assigned to receive sertraline for 3 months (50 mg oral tablet, Actoverco, and 25 mg/day which increased to 50 mg/day if tolerated), while the patients in the control group were assigned to receive placebo (CANDEREL, Aspartam tablet). Both groups received cisplatin under the same protocols (75 mg/M2 every 3 weeks with one-week interval during 3 courses). The corresponding author was thoroughly responsible for randomization and recruitment of the patients for interventions. In addition, the audiometrist and the patients were all blind to the randomization.

The effectiveness of the drug was determined assisted by CTCAE criteria and a grading measure was also defined by the study group for the effectiveness of sertraline or placebo in such a way that the effectiveness of the preventive drug was set equal to 4 for the individuals whose ototoxicity was found remaining at Grade 0 CTCAE following the use of drug or placebo and the same way the effectiveness power was considered 3 for Grade 1 CTCAE; it was considered 2 for Grade 2 CTCAE and equal to 1 for Grade 3 CTCAE and zero for Grade 4 CTCAE.
The hearing of all patients was assessed using both HFA and dpOAE tests to determine the presence and severity of hearing loss at the baseline and after completing the treatments (3 months).

Sample size

According to Ozturk et al., the prevalence of ototoxicity among the patients receiving sertraline and those receiving the placebo were 42% and 79%, respectively. Thus, a total sample size of 70 cases with 35 patients for each group was determined by considering a confidence level of 0.05 and strength of 90%.

Statistical analyses

The results were presented as mean ± Standard Deviation (SD) for quantitative variables and were summarized by absolute frequencies and percentages for qualitative variables. Kolmogorov-Smirnoff test was used to determine the normality of the data. In addition, chi-square test was utilized for comparing qualitative variables. Further, t-test was used for comparing quantitative variables due to the normal distribution of the related data, p-values of 0.05 or less were considered statistically significant.

**RESULTS**

In the present trial, 112 were recruited, among whom 33 were excluded. Forty-one patients who gave consent to chemotherapy under cisplatin received sertraline simultaneously. However, thirty-eight patients who received placebo were considered in the control group. As for its interferences with Cisplatin, consultations were made with the pharmacology experts before the study and, additionally, no drug interferences were observed in the references between these two drugs.

The overall prevalence of complications pertained to sertraline (severe nausea and vomiting) was found among 35 subjects (11.4%), who were excluded from the study. In addition, two other patients were excluded due to lack of treatment. In the control group, 3 patients were excluded (2 for immediate death (One of these patients died in a car accident and the other died a natural death), and 1 for non-compliance with the treatment protocol).

The study lasted for 3 months for each patient. In the case group, 17 individuals (48.6%) were male and 18 (51.4%) were female; while 19 cases (54.3%) were male and 16 (45.7%) were female in the control group (Table 1). No significant difference based on gender (p=0.632). Based on the results, the two groups were similar regarding age (45.6 ± 13.4 years vs. 44 ± 11.7 years) (p=0.592).

### Audiometric data

Regarding audiometric assessment, 40.0% patients receiving sertraline and 31.4% receiving the placebo were normal. Mild hearing loss was observed among 25.7% and 31.4%, and moderate hearing loss among 34.3% and 37.1%, respectively, with no between-group difference (p=0.741). After the intervention, the ototoxicity grade based on the CTCAE criteria [13] was grade 0 in 71.4% and 5.7%, grade 1 in 20% and 20%, grade 2 in 2.9% and 45.7%, grade 3 in 5.7% and 22.9%, and grade 4 in 0.0% and 5.7% for the sertraline and placebo groups, respectively, which indicates a significant lower grade of deficits in the group receiving sertraline (p<0.001) (Figure 1). The effectiveness power of the sertraline, in the majority of patients, based on our result was 4 in 71.4% and for placebo was 2 in 45.7%.

As illustrated in Figures 2 and 3, more preservation of hearing threshold in the sertraline group was significant in both females (p=0.000) and males (p=0.001).

### dpOAE data

In our cases, level of dpOAE is determined before taking the drug or placebo in which the actual level is stated as 2, 4, 6, and 8 KHz for each patient. After taking the drug, dpOAE has been applied for the patients afresh while the actual level of dpOAE for the patients after taking the drug

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>Sertraline group (n)</th>
<th>Placebo group (n)</th>
<th>Total (n)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
has been deducted from its previous level. Difference in these two levels, that is, the level of dpOAE change, has been measured and determined for the participants under the title of “the change in dpOAE threshold” in Figure 4. Regarding the level of dpOAE before the intervention, levels of 2000 Hz in 11.4% and 5.7%, 4000 Hz in 8.6% and 28.6%, 6000 Hz in 14.3% and 5.7%, and 8000 Hz in 66.7% and 60% were detected in the sertraline and control groups, respectively, with no between-group difference (p=0.121). However, the reductions of 2000 Hz in 17.1% and 5.7%, 4000 Hz in 25.7% and 57.1%, and 8000 Hz in 0.0% and 20% of cases in both groups occurred by assessing the change in the threshold of dpOAE after medication at the end of the trial, compared to the amount gained at the beginning of the study. However, level of dpOAE was unchanged among 57.1% and 17.1% cases in the sertraline and placebo groups, respectively, which indicated a significant difference between the two groups (p=0.000) (Figure 4). Consequently, the lower the level of dpOAE decrease after taking the drug, the lower the grade of patient's ototoxicity. On the other hand, unchanged cases include
patients with unchanged level of dpOAE after taking the drug and those without ototoxicity.

Further, the reduction of dpOAE level in the sertraline group was significant for the women (p=0.002), compared to the amount for the men (p=0.07) (Figures 5 and 6).

The overall prevalence of complications pertained to sertraline (severe nausea and vomiting) was four among 35 subjects (11.4%), who were excluded from the study.

**DISCUSSION**

As mentioned in the introduction, only a few studies were conducted on the beneficial effect of sertraline on preventing cisplatin-induced ototoxicity among cancer patients. Definitive effects of sertraline and its related pharmacological advantages induced from its protective effect are ambiguous yet. Therefore, the present study is the first human clinical trial in order to assess the protective effects of sertraline as a protective agent for preserving the hearing ability in patients. Based on the results, the use of sertraline with the initial dose of 25 mg/day and a maintenance dose of 50 mg/day could considerably reduce the risk of hearing loss among the patients who receive cisplatin. In addition, the dpOAE threshold decreased among a few patients who received sertraline.

Of course, a considerable decrease was observed in the majority of the patients who did not receive sertraline. However, the occurrence of some serious complications related to sertraline administration such as severe nausea and vomiting forced the clinicians to modify the protective drug protocols or prescribe lower dosages of sertraline to prevent cisplatin-induced ototoxicity. However, all of the patients tolerated the 50 mg dose in the present study. Based on the results, changes in dpOAE among the men were not as significant as the amount among the women. In this regard, further studies can be conducted in larger sample sizes.

As it was already mentioned, only one experimental study focused on assessing the protective effects of sertraline in preventing cisplatin-induced ototoxicity. Accordingly, Ozturk et al. reported that the risk for hearing loss was significantly lower in the animal samples receiving sertraline against the group receiving the placebo in different frequencies of 5642, 6165, 6726, 7336, and 7996 Hz.

Regarding the pathophysiological effects of sertraline on hearing threshold, it seems that these effects may be originated from the neuroprotective nature of sertraline, which has inhibitory effect on 3-trinitropropionic acid, and consequently protects the cellular pathways against behavioral, biochemical, and mitochondrial defects. This inhibitory effect is clearly remarkable in the doses of 5
and 10 mg/kg\(^{11}\). On the other hand, more human trials should be conducted by focusing on determining the physiological and biochemical effects of sertraline due to the indistinct role of sertraline in the changes in the cellular and molecular levels, along with the uncertainties in the clinical effects of the drug in preventing cisplatin-induced ototoxicity.

This kind of medication by sertraline may be useful since it is mainly used in treating psychiatric disorders and the patients with cancer have a lower life expectancy, which may even contribute to some degrees of depression. It is worth noting that this aspect of sertraline effect was not evaluated in the present study.
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**CONCLUSION**

Based on the results, the use of sertraline with a daily dose of 50 mg in the adults who gave consent to chemotherapy treatment with cisplatin could significantly result in preserving hearing threshold. It seems that this prescribed intake of the drug can be tolerated by most of the patients and the protective protocols should be modified just for a few of the patients.

**LIMITATION OF THE STUDY**

Anemia was not excluded from the present study due to its high risk in patients with gastric cancer. Thus, attempts were made to divide the groups just by randomization. Finally, the patients' observation lasted for only three months, while some of the changes in the hearing threshold may appear and arise beyond that length of time.
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